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In this article, we discuss theory and research on how people who have different adult romantic attachment orientations fare across one of life’s often happiest, but also most chronically stressful, events — the transition to parenthood. We first discuss central principles of attachment theory and then review empirical research revealing how two types of attachment insecurity — anxiety and avoidance — tend to prospectively predict unique patterns of relational and personal outcomes across this often challenging life event. We also suggest how many of these findings can be understood within a diathesis-stress process model that has guided our own research on the transition to parenthood.
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Principles of attachment theory
According to Bowlby [3–5], the attachment system evolved to increase the survival of infants and children in our ancestral past. The attachment system activates (turns on) when individuals experience fear, anxiety, or other forms of distress. From an evolutionary perspective [8], the system promoted survival by keeping children in close physical proximity to their attachment figures. From a psychological perspective [9,10], proximity reduces fear, anxiety, and distress, allowing individuals to pursue other important life tasks. The attachment system shuts down (turns off) when individuals experience a sufficient reduction in fear, anxiety, or distress, indexed by felt security [11].

Across development, individuals keep track of the extent to which they receive sufficient proximity/comfort from their attachment figures, beginning with their parents and continuing with their close friends and romantic partners [12]. These representations, known as working models [4], have two components: (1) a model of attachment figures (e.g., parents, close friends, romantic partners), which includes their degree of responsiveness to one’s prior bids for proximity/comfortable, and (2) a model of self, which entails information about one’s ability to obtain proximity/comfort and one’s value as a relationship partner.

Bowlby [4,5] proposed that the way in which individuals are treated by significant others — especially during times of stress — shapes their expectations, attitudes, and beliefs about future partners and relationships. These expectancies/attitudes/beliefs operate as ‘if/then’ propositions (e.g., ‘If I am upset, then I can count on my partner to support me’) [13]. Once developed, working models affect how individuals relate to their romantic partners in interpersonal contexts, especially in stressful/threatening situations. Nevertheless, working models can and sometimes do change, especially when individuals encounter experiences that directly contradict their current models [14,15].

For several years, we [7**] have studied how individuals who have secure versus insecure attachment orientations think, feel, and behave both prenatally and postnatally across the transition to parenthood. Borrowing key attachment principles, we conceptualize attachment insecurity as a diathesis capable of generating maladaptive interpersonal and intrapersonal outcomes in response to this life transition.
Adult attachment orientations

Adult romantic attachment orientations are assessed on two relatively uncorrelated dimensions labeled avoidance and anxiety [16,17]. Avoidance reflects the degree to which individuals feel comfortable with closeness and emotional intimacy in relationships. Highly avoidant people harbor negative views of their romantic partners and positive, but sometimes unstable, self-views [18]. They strive to establish and maintain independence, control, and autonomy in their relationships [19,20], because they believe that seeking psychological/emotional proximity is neither possible nor desirable based on their history of repeated rejection. These beliefs motivate avoidant people to use distancing/deactivating coping strategies [20,21] in which they suppress negative thoughts/emotions and attachment needs in order to maintain independence and autonomy. Less avoidant (or more secure) individuals are comfortable with intimacy and mutual dependence.

Anxiety indexes the degree to which individuals worry about not being appreciated or being abandoned by their romantic partners. Highly anxious individuals are heavily invested in their relationships, and they yearn to become emotionally closer to their partners in order to feel more secure [19]. They have negative self-views and guarded (but often hopeful) views of their partners [18]. These conflicting views lead anxious individuals to question their worth, worry about relationship loss, and remain hypervigilant to signs their partners might be withdrawing [21]. To boost their low security, anxious individuals frequently behave in ways that smother or pressure their partners for reassurance [22]. Because they do not know whether they can count on their partners, anxious people rely on emotion-focused/hyperactivating coping strategies [20,21], which sustain or escalate their worries and keep their attachment systems activated [20,23]. Less anxious (or more secure) individuals do not worry about loss or abandonment.

The Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model and the transition to parenthood

The findings of many attachment/transition to parenthood studies can be understood within the Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model [7], which is shown in Figure 1. According to this model, the two types of attachment insecurity act as a diathesis that produces negative interpersonal and intrapersonal outcomes in response to perceptions tied to stressful/threatening events during the transition. Although the model addresses three major forms of stress (external, internal, and chronic), here we focus on chronic stress. Most research has examined two broad outcomes: relational (e.g., marital satisfaction) and personal (e.g., depression).

The transition to parenthood is an impactful, chronic stressor because new parents must cope with novel challenges, including role changes, chronic fatigue, family demands, financial strain, and work-family conflict. Although some new parents report increases in marital and personal well-being [1], most report downturns in marital satisfaction and personal well-being [2]. We now describe the findings of longitudinal transition studies that have assessed adult romantic attachment orientations.

Relational outcomes

Most transition research examining relational outcomes has focused on marital satisfaction. Longitudinal studies consistently document that insecure partners — especially highly anxious ones — experience lower and/or more rapidly declining satisfaction following childbirth [2,24–28].

Our research has confirmed and extended these findings, testing specific pathways in the Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model (Figure 1). For example, we have found that highly anxious women enter the transition perceiving or expecting less spousal support, and this forecasts steeper declines in their marital satisfaction across the transition [29,30]. Importantly, husbands of more anxious women who feel that they are not getting sufficient support report parallel declines in both satisfaction and support-provision, which may further undermine satisfaction in highly anxious wives. In addition, highly avoidant people — especially men — who believe their newborn is interfering with their personal or work lives [29] or perceive they are doing too much childcare [31] report very steep declines in satisfaction over the first two years of the transition, whereas less avoidant (more secure) men and women do not. These core concerns — insufficient support/relationship loss for anxious people and insufficient independence/autonomy for avoidant people — are precisely those that should activate the attachment systems and working models of these two types of insecure people.

A handful of studies have explored other relational outcomes. For example, insecurely attached people — particularly highly avoidant ones — report greater conflict and partner-targeted aggression across the transition [32–35], most likely in response to the life-altering personal and work changes associated with having a child. Highly avoidant people also report declines in relationship commitment over the transition [36] and have more negative views of their babies [37], even at two weeks postpartum [38]. Both highly avoidant and highly anxious new parents also have less interpersonal empathy and report poorer parental adjustment across the transition [39], but their engagement as parents depends in part on their partner’s attachment orientation/behavior [40]. Lang and colleagues [40], for instance, found that highly avoidant fathers spend more time in exploration-focused engagement with their young children on days when mothers (their wives) display heightened attachment anxiety, whereas highly anxious mothers spend more time in proximity-
The Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model [7**] has two components: a normative (species-typical) component, and an individual difference component. From a normative perspective, the attachment system is activated (turned on) by three types of events: (1) negative external events (e.g., dangerous/threatening situations), (2) negative relational events (e.g., relationship conflict, separation, abandonment), and (3) cognitive/emotional stressors (e.g., ruminating about negative events). Each one elicits distress in most people. Distress, in turn, triggers species-typical attachment motivations to seek proximity, support, and/or reassurance from attachment figures (e.g., parents, close friends, romantic partners). These motivations then launch specific attachment behaviors designed to reduce or regulate distress, which then influences perceptions of the partner and/or the current situation. Perceptions of the partner/situation, however, are also influenced by how the partner behaves in the current situation. The specific attachment behaviors that an individual displays, and the partner/relationship perceptions s/he has, are guided by his/her working models (see below). These behaviors and perceptions, in turn, affect an individual’s relational and personal well-being in response to the stressful situation. Attachment working models can affect any stage of the model, as shown by the lines running from attachment working models to each model stage. For example, working models can influence how distressed individuals feel in response to a negative or stressful event, and they can determine which attachment motivations are evoked when distress is experienced. Working models can also affect the attachment behaviors that individuals display when attachment motivations are triggered, how they perceive their partners in the situation, and how their partners behave toward them. Each of these pathways can impact the quality of relational and personal well-being that is experienced during or after encountering the stressful event. From an individual difference perspective, the model proposes that individuals who have different attachment orientations should respond differently when they are exposed to certain kinds of distressing situations. For example, when highly anxious individuals encounter stressful events that threaten or call into question the quality or stability of their romantic relationships, they should be keenly aware of their distress and seek immediate help/support from their romantic partners. In light of the conflicted nature of their working models, however, anxious individuals should be strongly motivated to reduce distress by increasing proximity (including emotional closeness) with their partners. This should be exacerbated by their reliance on emotion-focused/hyperactivating coping strategies [7**,21], which direct their attention toward the source of distress and lead them to ruminate about possible negative outcomes. Such coping strategies also direct their attention away from figuring out how to solve the stressor/problem, which keeps their attachment systems activated. As a result, the attachment behaviors that highly anxious individuals enact center on intense, obsessive proximity/support/reassurance-seeking from their partners, which often do not diminish their distress. Over time, the romantic partners of anxious individuals are likely to tire and become frustrated from continually having to offer reassurance/support, and these reactions may be construed by anxious individuals as signs of further rejection. Highly anxious individuals should also perceive their partner’s intentions, motives, and actions less benevolently during the stressful situation, underestimating the care/support that their partners provide (or are willing to provide). These negative perceptions should, in turn, generate lower relational and personal well-being during and/or following the stressful event. When confronting stressful events, especially those that threatened their autonomy and independence, highly avoidant individuals might not be fully aware of how distressed they are, and they should neither want nor seek help or support from their partners unless they feel overwhelmed. Given their negative, cynical working models, avoidant individuals should be motivated to reduce or contain the distress they feel by being self-reliant, which allows them to reestablish independence, autonomy, and control in the stressful situation. This should be facilitated by their use of avoidant/deactivating coping strategies [7**,21], which suppress awareness of their distress, attachment needs, and attachment behaviors, at least in the short-term. Avoidant individuals should engage in attachment behaviors that allow some contact with their partners, but at a safe, emotionally comfortable distance and on terms they dictate. The partners of avoidant
focused engagement with their young children when fathers (their husbands) exhibit greater avoidance.

**Personal outcomes**

Most transition research investigating personal outcomes has targeted depressive symptoms. Longitudinal studies repeatedly reveal that highly anxious and highly avoidant individuals experience elevated and sometimes increasing depressive symptoms over the transition [41,42,43**]. In our work testing the Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model, we have found that highly anxious women who enter the transition perceiving or expecting either less spousal support or greater spousal anger report increases in depressive symptoms over time [43**,44**]. Consistent with our model, we [44*] have also found that the link between these interaction effects and pre-to-postnatal increases in depressive symptoms are mediated by wives’ perceived declines in their husbands’ support across time. Moreover, for highly anxious women, the link between their prenatal and postnatal depression scores is mediated by their (wives’) perceptions of support available from their husbands. Additionally, their husbands report increases in their depressive symptoms and declines in their support-provision across the transition, which should exacerbate the depressive symptoms of highly anxious wives.

Consistent with our model, we [43**] have also found that: (a) the association between attachment anxiety and depressive symptoms is moderated by variables assessing the quality of their marriages; (b) the association between avoidance and depressive symptoms is moderated by variables indexing the amount of family responsibilities; and (c) the caregiving styles enacted by partners affects depressive symptoms differently in highly anxious and highly avoidant persons.

A few studies have investigated other personal outcomes. Insecurely attached new parents, for example, report greater parental strain and poorer coping [37,45] and less parental reflective functioning [46] across the transition. Moreover, women who enter parenthood seeking less spousal support or who have highly avoidant husbands tend to become more avoidant across the transition, whereas men who report providing more prenatal support to their wives become less avoidant [47*]. Among first-time economically-stressed mothers, those who are more stressed, depressed, or received less sensitive care from their own mothers become more insecure over time [48]. Viewed together, these findings are consistent with the Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model [7**].

**Future directions and conclusion**

There are two especially promising directions for future research. First, under what circumstances do securely attached individuals fail to regulate their emotions well during the transition, and when do insecurely attached individuals regulate theirs more effectively? Second, when are the partners of insecurely attached new parents able to soothe their insecure mates, helping them to react more constructively across the transition [49*]? Third, how does attachment disorganization [50*] affect the transition? Research done outside of the transition indicates that both men and women with higher disorganized scores show greater anger and behave more aggressively toward their partners. This suggests that aggression, anger, and even abuse, which have rarely been studied in the transition literature, might occur across the transition among disorganized partners.

In conclusion, the transition to parenthood triggers different attachment concerns in highly anxious and highly avoidant people — threats concerning support/loss in anxious persons, and threats concerning autonomy/independence in avoidant persons. Research relevant to the Attachment Diathesis-Stress Process Model has begun to shed clarifying light on the psychological processes through which these concerns translate into lower well-being in these two types of insecure people.
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